

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERC United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.usplo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/531,671	04/15/2005	Tormod Drengstig	3585-110 US	1925
	7590 07/16/200 HEPHERD, MCKAY,		23585-110 US 1925 EXAMINER ABBOTT, YVONNE RENEE ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER 3644 MAIL DATE DELIVERY MOD	IINER .
29 THANET ROAD, SUITE 201 PRINCETON, NJ 08540		,	ABBOTT, YVONNE RENEE	
PRINCETON,	NJ 06540		ART UNIT PAPER N	PAPER NUMBER
			3644	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			07/16/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)		
		10/531,671	DRENGSTIG ET AL.		
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit		
		Yvonne R. Abbott	3644		
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication app	ears on the cover sheet wi	h the correspondence address		
A SHO WHIC - Exter after - If NO - Failu Any r	DRTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE asions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. Period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period were to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, eply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing and patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNIC 36(a). In no event, however, may a re vill apply and will expire SIX (6) MON cause the application to become AB	CATION. Sply be timely filed ITHS from the mailing date of this communication ANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).		
Status	·		•		
2a)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>10 April 2007</u> . This action is FINAL . 2b) This action is non-final. Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.				
Dispositi	on of Claims				
5)	Claim(s) 1 and 3-10 is/are pending in the applied 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw Claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) 1 and 3-10 is/are rejected. Claim(s) is/are objected to. Claim(s) is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or on Papers The specification is objected to by the Examine The drawing(s) filed on 15 April 2005 is/are: a) Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine Correct The Oath Oath Oath Oath Oath Oath Oath Oath	vn from consideration. r election requirement. r. ⊠ accepted or b) □ object drawing(s) be held in abeyant ion is required if the drawing(s)	ce. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d	d).	
Priority I	inder 35 II S.C. & 119				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.					
2) Notice	t(s) e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) r No(s)/Mail Date	Paper No(s	ummary (PTO-413))/Mail Date formal Patent Application 		

Application/Control Number: 10/531,671 Page 2

Art Unit: 3644

DETAILED ACTION

1. Applicant is reminded of the proper language and format for an abstract of the disclosure.

The abstract should be in narrative form and generally limited to a single paragraph on a separate sheet within the range of 50 to 150 words. It is important that the abstract not exceed 150 words in length since the space provided for the abstract on the computer tape used by the printer is limited. The form and legal phraseology often used in patent claims, such as "means" and "said," should be avoided. The abstract should describe the disclosure sufficiently to assist readers in deciding whether there is a need for consulting the full patent text for details.

2. The abstract of the disclosure is objected to because the words "said" and "means" should be omitted. Correction is required. See MPEP § 608.01(b).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 4. Claims 1, and 4-10 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Horn (5073210) in view of Mickelsen et al. (4337727). Horn shows a device for shellfish farming, comprising a transporter and a cage, wherein said cage has a

Application/Control Number: 10/531,671 Page 3

Art Unit: 3644

plurality of sides, a first side (24) of the cage, a second side (24) of said cage having couplings for engaging said cage onto said transporter, wherein said second side is located opposite said first side and other additional sides of said cage being devoid of openings, wherein said cage is turnable to achieve a plurality of positions, wherein said first side equipped faces upwards to accommodate the administration of food in a first position, faces sideways to accommodate shellfish eating and resting in a second position, and faces downwards to accommodate emptying in a third position, said positions being achieved by the engagement of said cage onto said transporter and, optionally, by disengagement of said cage from said transporter. Horn, however, does not show openings in the second side wall. Mickelsen et al. teach modular underwater cages having mesh side walls. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to provide that the second side wall of Horn have openings as taught by Mickelsen et al., in order to better facilitate the free circulation of water throughout the enclosure.

5. Claim 3 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Horn in view of Mickelsen et al. as applied to claim1 above, and further in view of Neff (4019459). Although Horn discloses the turnable shellfish cage, it is not disclosed that the sides of the cage are provided with buoyancy elements. Neff teaches a fish cage having buoyancy elements (18) attached thereto which would allow the cage to float in water. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to attach flotation elements on the Horn cage to facilitate easy

retrieval of the cage once detached from the couplings (i.e. so that it doesn't inadvertently sink to the bottom of the tank).

Conclusion

6. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Yvonne R. Abbott whose telephone number is (571) 272-6896. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Thursday 9:30am-6:00pm.

Application/Control Number: 10/531,671 Page 5

Art Unit: 3644

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Teri Luu can be reached on (571) 272-7045. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Yvonne R. Abbott Primary Examiner Art Unit 3644